# Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
York Cable Television, Inc.	)	GGD <b>5</b> 005 F
	)	CSR-7005-E
Petitions for Determination of	)	CSR-7013-E
Effective Competition in Pearl	)	
and Flowood, MS	)	
	)	
	)	

### MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: January 26, 2007 Released: January 30, 2007

By the Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. York Cable Television, Inc. d/b/a SusCom ("SusCom") has filed two petitions with the Commission pursuant to 76.7, 76.905(b)(2) and 76.907 of the Commission's rules for a determination that its cable television systems serving Pearl and Flowood, Mississippi (the "Communities") are subject to effective competition pursuant to Section 623(a)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Communications Act"), and are therefore exempt from rate regulation. No oppositions to the petitions were filed. We grant the petitions finding that SusCom is subject to effective competition in the Communities.
- 2. In the absence of a demonstration to the contrary, cable systems are presumed not to be subject to effective competition,<sup>2</sup> as that term is defined by Section 623(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 76.905 of the Commission's rules.<sup>3</sup> The cable operator bears the burden of rebutting the presumption that effective competition does not exist with evidence that effective competition is present within the relevant franchise area.<sup>4</sup>

## II. DISCUSSON

3. Section 623(l)(1)(B) of the Communications Act provides that a cable operator is subject to effective competition if its franchise area is (a) served by at least two unaffiliated multi-channel video

<sup>3</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 543(1) and 47 C.F.R. § 76.905.

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.7, 76.905(b)(2), 76.907; 47 U.S.C. § 543(a)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 76.906.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.906 & 907.

programming distributors ("MVPD"), each of which offers comparable video programming to at least 50 percent of the households in the franchise area; and (b) the number of households subscribing to programming services offered by MVPDs other than the largest MVPD exceeds 15 percent of the households in the franchise area.<sup>5</sup> Turning to the first prong of this test, we find that the DBS service of DirecTV Inc. ("DirectTV") and DISH Network ("Dish") is presumed to be technically available due to its nationwide satellite footprint, and presumed to be actually available if households in a franchise area are made reasonably aware that the service is available.<sup>6</sup> The two DBS providers' subscriber growth reached approximately 26.1 million as of June 2005, comprising approximately 27.7 percent of all MVPD subscribers nationwide; DirecTV has become the second largest, and DISH the third largest, MVPD provider.<sup>7</sup> In view of this DBS growth data, and the data discussed below showing that more than 15 percent of the households in each of the Communities are DBS subscribers, we conclude that the population of the Communities at issue here may be deemed reasonably aware of the availability of DBS services for purposes of the first prong of the competing provider test. With respect to the issue of program comparability, we find that the programming of the DBS providers satisfies the Commission's program comparability criterion because the DBS providers offer substantially more than 12 channels of video programming, including more than one non-broadcast channel.<sup>8</sup> We find further that SusCom has demonstrated that the Communities are served by at least two unaffiliated MVPDs, namely the two DBS providers, each of which offers comparable video programming to at least 50 percent of the households in the franchise area. Therefore, the first prong of the competing provider test is satisfied.

4. The second prong of the competing provider test requires that the number of households subscribing to MVPDs, other than the largest MVPD, exceed 15 percent of the households in a franchise area. SusCom sought to determine the competing provider penetration in the Communities by purchasing a subscriber tracking report that identified the number of subscribers attributable to the DBS subscribers within the Communities on a five-digit zip code basis. SusCom asserts that it is the largest MVPD in the Communities because it has compared its residential subscriber totals for the Communities against the aggregate totals of the DBS providers' subscribers. Based upon the aggregate DBS subscriber penetration levels, calculated using 2000 Census household data, we find that SusCom has demonstrated that the number of households subscribing to programming services offered by MVPDs, other than the largest, is 24.2 percent in Pearl and 27.5 percent in Flowood. Therefore, the second prong of the competing provider test is satisfied. Based on the foregoing, we conclude that SusCom has submitted sufficient evidence demonstrating that its cable systems serving the Communities are subject to competing provider effective competition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 543(1)(1)(B); see also 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See MediaOne of Georgia, 12 FCC Rcd 19406 (1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Twelfth Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming, FCC 06-11 at ¶¶ 6, 13, 72-73 (rel. March 3, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> SusCom Petitions at 7, Exhibits B, D & E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> SusCom Petitions at 7. Each petition also contains a Declaration from Robert Marsh, VP/GM for the Rankin Division of Susquehanna Communications attesting to the veracity of statements made in the petitions. After the filing of its Pearl petition, SusCom submitted an Erratum containing the correct signature page and Declaration signed by Robert Marsh as it was omitted from the first filing.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  Pearl (2,082.92 DBS Subscribers  $\div$  8,608 Pearl households = 24.2%) and Flowood (586.24 DBS subscribers  $\div$  2,130 Flowood households = 27.5%)

# III. ORDRING CLAUSES

- 5. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the petitions filed by York Cable Television, Inc. d/b/a SusCom for a determination of effective competition in Pearl and Flowood, Mississippi **ARE GRANTED.**
- 6. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the certifications to regulate basic service rates granted to any of the local franchising authorities overseeing York Cable Television, Inc. d/b/a SusCom in the affected Communities **ARE REVOKED**.
- 7. This action is taken pursuant to delegated authority under Section 0.283 of the Commission's rules. 12

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Steven A. Broeckaert Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 0.283.